

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					MK
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/895,950	07/17/97	WINTER		Α	H0E-90/F-333
_		IM22/1227	コ		EXAMINER
CONNOLLY AN	D HUTZ			TESKIN	I, F
1220 MARKET	STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 2207 WILMINGTON	DE 19899	·		1713	14
				DATE MAILED:	12/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/895,950 Applicant(s)

Winter, et al.

Examiner

Fred Teskin

Group Art Unit 1713



ТН	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) X expires three (3) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Actio is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date rejection.	n, whichever of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropria date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the pudetermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 vacalculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	urposes of
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	within any
Ap bu	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 27, 2000</u> has been considered with the following tis NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	g effect,
X	The proposed amendment(s):	
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	🛛 will not be entered because:	
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplessues for appeal.	plifying the
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See attachment hereto.	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submace separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	nitted in a
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subm	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submace separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because:	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application of allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection.	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were neglected.	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application of allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection.	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None	on in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None	ewly raised by
□ ⊠	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submiseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-15 and 19-26	ewly raised by
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submanded separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-15 and 19-26 The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the	ewly raised by

Application/Control No. 08/895,950

Art Unit 1713

Attachment to Advisory Action

The after-final amendment does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b) in that the phrase "a C_6 - C_{10} aryl group, which is optionally halogenated" is enclosed in brackets in claim 1 as twice amended. That phrase should not be shown in brackets since it never appeared in the patent. Instead, the phrase should simply be omitted from claim 1 and an explanation of the deletion should appear in the remarks. See MPEP 1453 under heading "Amendment of Original Patent Claims More Than Once".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2456.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

FRED TESKIE PATENT EXAMINE ART UNIT 1713

FMTeskin/12-21-00